Adult Community Care Spring 2007 Pre-Consultation Event 12 February 2007

Notes of Meeting

Attendance

Organisation	Number attending	Organisation	Number Attending
Carers Support Harrow	2	Harrow Mencap	2
Citizen's Advice Bureau	1	Harrow Older People	1
		Partnership Board	
Crossroads	1	Harrow Rethink	4
Elliott Hall Medical Centre	1	Harrow User Group	4
Carers Group		-	
HCRE	1	Harrow User Group/MIND in	2
		Harrow	
Harrow Association of	1	Loud and Clear	1
Disabled People			
Harrow Association of Somali	1	Middlesex Association for the	1
Voluntary Organisations		Blind	
Harrow Learning Disability	2	Somali Cultural and Education	1
Partnership		Association	
Harrow Mental Health	1		
Partnership Board			
		Total	27

Apologies received from Age Concern, who had attended a previous briefing offered to advocacy services. HCRE also wrote providing comments. I attendee has also emailed further comments.

In attendance: Portfolio Holders 2; Officers 7

NOTE: Attendees were often involved with/representing more than one group. 42 groups were invited including carer/user representatives from Harrow's Partnership Boards.

Options which could be included in the consultation.

Proposed Changes to who qualifies for ('accesses') social care services (FACS)

Proposed Option	Notes	Comments/response/implications
To meet only 'critical band' assessed needs.	This was the option highlighted in the Budget report to Cabinet on 14 Dec 2006 and put forward by one of the task groups	Members are asked to agree that this should be one of the options put forward in the consultation document.
To continue to meet both 'substantial and critical band' assessed needs.		Members are asked to agree that this should be one of the options put forward in the consultation document.
To re-write the FACS criteria to be more specific with additional guidance		These are statutory national criteria
To offer those who are not assessed as having 'critical band' needs the opportunity to buy a service		Individuals have the right to purchase any services from the private/independent sector

Proposed Introduction of charges for attending day centres

Proposed Option	Notes	Comments/response/implications
To charge £12 per day (£6 per half-day) for	This was the option	This proposed charge would place Harrow in the median of
attendance at a day centre and to review	highlighted in the Budget	London current rates (for those charging).
charges each year.	report to Cabinet on 14	
	Dec 2006 and put forward	Members are asked to agree that this should be one of the
	by one of the task groups	options put forward in the consultation document.
To continue the current policy of not charging		Members are asked to agree that this should be one of the
for attendance at a day centre		options put forward in the consultation document.
To charge £20 per day (£10 per half-day) for		Although this would generate additional income, this would
attendance at a day centre		represent a significantly higher cost to service users.
To charge £10 - £12 per day (£5/6 per half-		This is similar to the original proposal, but a charge of £10
day) for attendance at a day centre		would reduce income by 16%.
To reduce the number of Day Centre Places		Members have already agreed to the merger of Anmer and
		Milmans Day Centres. This proposal would create

	additional pressure on places potentially leading to unmet needs. This would require separate consultation.
To make Direct Payments to enable people to access community based activities.	This option is already available to individuals.

What needs to be included in the document(s)?

Content	Notes	Comments/response/implications
Impact Assessments	Participants wanted information	The formal consultation document will contain basic
·	included in the pack about the	information about the potential impact on these categories.
	impact on:	
	Carers	A full impact assessment will be carried out during the
	Voluntary Organisations	consultation process and reported back to Cabinet together
	Other Agencies	with the results of the formal consultation.
	Equalities	
	Health	
Information for individuals	How assessments are carried out	We will set out as much information as possible within the
	How are the needs of carers	formal consultation document, but we will need to balance
	reflected in an assessment	this against our desire to provide an accessible document.
	Whether an individual falls within	
	'critical' or 'substantial' bands and at	Additional information can be made available via the web-
	what stage they move between them	site, and we can also work with partners including
	Review dates	voluntary, community and faith organisations to ensure that
	How will changes be phased	information is accessible.
	Implications for those in receipt of	
	benefits	
	Financial Impact assessment –	
	Fairer Charging including clarity	
	about who would not pay to avoid	
	raising anxiety	
	Will there be Means Testing	
	Are Direct Payments available	
	Do day care charges include lunch,	
	transport and other extras?	

Rationale for change	Cost/benefit analysis of different	We will set out as much information as possible within the
	options	formal consultation document, but we will need to balance
	Benchmarking of charges including numbers of councils with nil charge	this against our desire to provide an accessible document.
	Unit cost of provision (day centres) v	Additional information can be made available via the web-
	proposed charges	site, and we can also work with partners including
	Anticipated income/saving	voluntary, community and faith organisations to ensure that
	What Harrow is doing to lobby for	information is accessible.
	more funding from central	
	government	We are actively lobbying central government in relation to
		the low grant position of the Council.
Alternative services	Would it be cheaper to buy services	We commission a range of services already including some
	in the voluntary sector?	from the voluntary and community sector.
	What alternative services are	We are examining how we might make available
	available to people?	information about the full range of services.
Frequently asked questions		

Format	Notes	Comments/response/implications
Separate Documents for each consultation	Short, black and white only	We are considering how best to achieve a consultation document which is accessible, contains all the relevant information without being too long.
		RNIB recommend yellow background, black Arial Font 14 to assist people with visual impairment.
Simple Summary Document with more detailed version available	Executive Summary Plain english Comprehensive information included savings/impact on related services	We are considering how best to achieve a consultation document which is accessible, contains all the relevant information without being too long.
Accessible version of consultation document (pictorial)	Assists low literacy	We have received offers to develop an easy read version and we are considering how we can progress this.
Braille version		We will make available a Braille version on request
Verbal communication not just written	Staff available to speak at groups	We can make staff available to speak at meetings by negotiation.
Trial draft documents with service		We propose to circulate a draft of the formal consultation

users/organisations		document to enable pre-consultation stakeholders to comment on it before it is finalised.
Publicising Consultation	As early as possible Ansaphone message while waiting for Access Harrow Press/posters	The formal consultation will be publicised widely as soon as details are finalised.
Dissemination	Users and carers Residents associations – all residents Voluntary Sector Local press Public meetings Posters Reach the oldest/sick Provide extra copies of the feedback sheets and ask voluntary groups etc to contact their elderly members seeking views	The formal consultation document will be circulated widely to current service users, and will be made available to organisations as well as downloadable from the web-site. Other individuals will be able to request that a copy of the consultation document be sent to them. Copies of the consultation document will be made available via GP surgeries, libraries and other public venues. Additional copies of the feedback sheets can be provided on request

Responding	Notes	Comments/response/implications
Different feedback sheets for	Seek evidence from groups about	We will take account of this in designing the feedback
service users and organisations, and for different consultations	how many they were responding for	sheets.
Opportunity on form for people to	And that of carers	We will take account of this in designing the feedback
state how the proposed changes		sheets.
will affect their lives		
Information about different methods	Consider sending specific	We will continue the arrangements made for the previous
to feedback e.g. telephone, e-mail	researchers out in the community	consultation to provide a wide range of means for people to communicate their views including dedicated telephone
		line, text phone, fax, e-mail, and post.
Public Meetings	Additional public meetings/focus	We plan to hold 3 public meetings in different locations and
-	groups organised by voluntary	times during the consultation period.
	organisations but attended by	
	Officers/Members	By negotiation we would be happy to attend

Appendix 2

		events/meetings organised by voluntary, community and faith groups to explain the proposals.
Assistance from staff to help users feedback	e.g. day centres	This will be available.

Other Comments:

Work with local voluntary groups to access alternative funding streams. Where appropriate we would be happy to do this. Consider alternatives to social care reductions elsewhere in the council. See www.harrow.gov.uk/budget

Work with the PCT to resolve financial difficulties e.g. pool budgets. The PCT is a key partner with whom we continue to work closely.